

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/671,966	C	09/27/2000	Carol T. Schembri	10003413-1	8322
22878	7590	01/14/2005		EXAM	INER
AGILENT	TECHNO	DLOGIES, INC.	SNAY, JEFFREY R		
INTELLECT	TUAL PRO	OPERTY ADMINIS	STRATION, LEGAL DEPT.		
P.O. BOX 75	599		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
M/S DL429			1743		
LOVELAND	O, CO 80	537-0599			

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Poppose to Bulo 312 Communication	09/671,966	SCHEMBRI ET AL.					
Response to Rule 312 Communication	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jeffrey R. Snay	1743					
The MAILING DATE of this communication an	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address –					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –							
. Mathematical The amendment filed on 30 September 2004 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:							
a) entered.							
entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention							
c) \square disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.							
Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1)							
and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.							
d) 🗵 disapproved. See explanation below.							
e) entered in part. See explanation below.	1						
The file record makes along that substitute 40.45							
The file record makes clear that only claims 43-45, now 1-3, were allowed. See particularly the interview summary of 08-16-2004 in which, upon notice of non-entry of the after final amendment, Applicant's representative requested entry of only							
claims 43-45. The claims now proposed in the Rule 312 amendment were never before allowance presented as dependent from the allowed claims.							
	<u> </u>						
·							
	· •						
	,						
	'						
	*						
Fig. 10							
\mathcal{F}_{-} :							
		100 m					
(/8							
	4	Jeffrey R. Snay					
	P A	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1743					